



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,301	02/07/2002	Choon-sik Jung	1293.1313	7034
21171	7590	11/01/2006	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			DUNN, MISHAWN N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/067,301	Applicant(s) JUNG, CHOON-SIK	
	Examiner Mishawn N. Dunn	Art Unit 2621	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 October 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 07 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/13/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

2. Applicant argues that Ando et al. do not discuss storing the table.

In response the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Ando et al. discloses recording the table in an area where management information is recorded (col. 31, lines 30-36).

Therefore, Ando et al. teaches storing the table as recited in claim 1.

Applicant also argues that Ando et al. does not discuss a storing apparatus, which stores the program packets and the program table.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with this as well. Ando et al. teaches that the program packets and the program tables are recorded on an information storage medium (col. 4, lines 15-16; figs. 1, 9, and 19). Therefore, Ando et al. teaches a storing apparatus, which stores the program packets and the program table as recited in claim

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2621

TTG
10/30/06
1-7
4. Claims ~~1-9~~ are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ando et al. (US Pat. No. 6,782,189).

5. Consider claim 1. Ando et al. teaches a method of storing program data, which is encoded by compression, comprising: extracting information, which is to be referenced in reproducing the program data, from the program data; making a table of the extracted information; and storing the table having the extracted information and the program data in a storage apparatus (fig. 20).

6. Consider claim 2. Ando et al. teaches the method for storing program data of claim 1, wherein the extracting of the information comprises extracting location information of an I-picture (col. 15, line 2).

7. Consider claim 3. Ando et al. teaches the method for storing program data of claim 1, wherein the program data is stored in packets and the extracting of the information comprises extracting description information of each packet and location information of an I-picture (col. 15, line 2).

8. Consider claim 4. Ando et al. teaches the method for storing program data of claim 1, wherein the program data is encoded by compression according to the MPEG-2 standard (col. 8, lines 38-40) and packetized in the form of a transport stream (TS) (col. 7, lines 19-22) and the extracting of the information comprises extracting a program allocation table (PAT), a program map table (PMT), and location information of an I-picture (fig. 9).

9. Consider claim 5. Ando et al. teaches an apparatus for storing a program which is encoded and packetized in transport stream (TS) packets according to an MPEG-2

Art Unit: 2621

standard (col. 7, lines 19-22; col. 8, lines 38-40), the apparatus for storing a program comprising: a TS demux which extracts program packets related to a program desired to be stored from the TS packets (col. 28, lines 57-63; fig. 19); a TS demux control unit which controls the TS demux to extract the program packets (col. 29, lines 24-27; fig. 19), and extracts location information of an I-picture (col. 15, line 2); a control unit which buffers and outputs the program packets extracted by the TS demux (col. 28, lines 57-63; fig. 19), extracts program allocation table (PAT) and program map table (PMT) information related to the program desired to be stored from the program packets (fig. 9), and makes a program table having the extracted PAT and PMT information and the extracted location information of a packet related to the I-picture (fig. 9); and a storing apparatus which stores the program packets and the program table (fig. 19).

10. Consider claim 6. Ando et al. teaches the apparatus for storing a program of claim 5, wherein the control unit comprises: a random-access-memory (RAM) which buffers and outputs the program packets detected by the TS demux (col. 29, lines 27-28; fig. 19); and a central processing unit (CPU) which extracts the PAT information and the PMT information from the program packets stored in the RAM according to a predetermined program, and makes the program table (col. 27, lines 28-32; figs. 9 and 19).

11. Consider claim 7. Ando et al. teaches the apparatus for storing a program of claim 5, further comprising: a digital interface unit which controls a direct memory access (DMA) operation between the storing apparatus and the control unit (col. 27, lines 33-35; fig. 19).

Art Unit: 2621

116
10/30/06
~~12. Method claims 8 and 9 are rejected using similar reasoning as the method claims
above.~~

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mishawn N. Dunn whose telephone number is 571-272-7635. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mishawn Dunn
October 27, 2006



THAI Q. TRAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600